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Birational Geometry from Zariski’s viewpoint

- $S$: coherent (= quasi-compact and quasi-separated) (analog. compact Hausdorff)

- $U = S \setminus D \hookrightarrow S$ quasi-compact open immersion ($U$: dense in $S$).

$\mathcal{I}_D =$ defining ideal of $D$
Basic Question: Extension problem

- $P$: a property of morphisms (e.g. $P =$“flat”)
- $f_U: X_U \rightarrow U$: finitely presented /$U$
  with property $P$.
- Assume $\exists f: X \rightarrow S$: an extension of $f_U$.

$\leadsto$ Can one find such an $f$ with $P$?

NO in general.

Need to allow birational changes of $S$ preserving $U$. 
Modifications

\[ \text{MD}_{(S,U)}: \text{Category of "U-admissible" modifications:} \]

- Objects:
  \[ U \rightarrow S' \]
  \[ \downarrow \]
  \[ S \]

- Morphisms:
  \[ S''' \]
  \[ S' \]
  \[ S'' \]

The category \[ \text{MD}_{(X,U)} \] is cofiltered.
**BL\((S,U)\):** Full subcategory of “\(U\)-admissible” blow-ups:

- **Objects:** \(S' \rightarrow S\): a blow-up with the center \(\subseteq S \setminus U\) (set-theoretically).

**Example:** \(S = \text{Spec}\ A, D = V(I)\)

\[\sim S' = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^n, I^k \subseteq J \text{ for } \exists k.\]
Strict Transform

\[ S' \rightarrow S : U\text{-admissible modification.} \]

\[ f : X \rightarrow S : \text{a morphism.} \]

\[ X \leftarrow X_{S'} = X \times_S S' \rightarrow X' \]

\[ S \leftarrow S' \rightarrow X' : \text{dividing out } I_D\text{-torsions.} \]
Modified Extension Problem

\[ f_U : X_U \rightarrow U : \text{finitely presented } /U \text{ with the property } P. \]

Assume \( \exists f : X \rightarrow S : \text{an extension of } f_U. \)

\(~\) Can one find a \( U \)-admissible modification (resp. blow-up) \( S' \rightarrow S \) such that the strict transform \( f' : X' \rightarrow S' \) has \( P \) ?
Theorem (Gruson-Raynaud, 1970).
\[ f_U : X_U \to U : \text{flat, finitely presented} \]
\[ \to \exists S' \to S : U\text{-admissible blow-up such that} \]
\[ f' : X' \to S' : \text{flat, finitely presented.} \]
Corollary.

BL(S, U) is cofinal in MD(S, U).

Comments on Flattening Theorem

- Clear if S = Spec V, V: DVR.
- If V: valuation ring, flatness is clear, while finite presentation is rather difficult.
Proof - Revival of Zariski’s idea

Zariski-Riemann space (Zariski, 1939)

\[ \langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}} = \lim_{\leftarrow} S' \]

Projective limit taken in the category of local ringed spaces

(1) Generalization of abstract Riemann surface:
\[ S : \text{regular curve} \implies \langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}} = S \ (\text{Dedekind-Weber}) \]

(2) Zariski’s motivation: resolution of singularities
Points and local rings

\[ x \in \langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}} \supset U \]

- \( x \in U \implies \mathcal{O}_{\langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}},x} = \mathcal{O}_{U,x}. \)
- \( x \notin U \implies \exists V_x: \text{valuation ring (of height } \geq 1) \text{ with} \)

\[ \sim x = \{p_{S'}\}_{S' \in \mathbf{BL}(S,U)}: \text{compatible system of points.} \]

\( (p_{S'}: \text{the image of the closed points of } \text{Spec } V_x.) \)
$\mathcal{O}_U^{\text{cpt}}$: $\mathcal{I}_D$-valuative ring
— A “composite” of local rings of $U$ and valuation rings.

Notice: The valuation rings are not necessarily of height 1 (even when $S$: algebraic variety /$k$).

$\leadsto$ One has to consider valuation rings of higher height.
## Example of valuation rings

$S$: algebraic surface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ht.</th>
<th>rat. rk.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>trivial valuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>divisorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>composite of two divisorial valuations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>non-divisorial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

non-divisorial
Intuitive Description

$V$: Valuation ring

$\text{Spec } V = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \ldots$

"Long Curve"

$\langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}} = U \sqcup T^*_D/S$

$T^*_D/S$: The set of "long curves" passing through $D$:

"Tubular neighborhood"
Fundamental Property

Theorem (Zariski, 1944).

$\langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}}$ is quasi-compact.

- Flattening theorem: consequence of the quasi-compactness.

Proofs:

1. $\lim \text{ coherent topoi} = \text{ coherent topos}$
   
   (cf. $\lim \text{ cpt Hausdorff spaces} = \text{ cpt Hausdorff space}$) + Deligne’s theorem (existence of points)

2. Stone’s representation theorem for distributive lattices.
Proof of flattening theorem

Idea: Reduction to “long curves” using the quasi-compactness

(1) True for long curves, i.e. $S=\text{Spec } V$.

(2) True for $S=\text{Spec } \text{“local ring of a point of } \langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}}$. (Follows from (1) and “true on $U$” by “patching”.)

(1), (2), and P: locally finitely presented

$\implies$ Claim is true “locally on $\langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}}$”, i.e., $\forall \ x \in \langle U \rangle_{\text{cpt}},$

$$U_x \xrightarrow{} S' \quad \text{U-Admissible blow-up}$$

Claim is true on $U_x$.

(3) Quasi-compactness $\implies$ Done by birational patching
Other applications


- Resolution of singularities of quasi-excellent surfaces (Zariski).
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1. Etale topology
2. Lefschetz trace formula
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Why do we do this?

1. Arithmetic geometry of Shimura varieties (local models, $p$-adic modular forms).

2. Cohomology theory of schemes ($l$-adic Lefschetz trace formula, rigid cohomology of Berthelot).

3. Mirror symmetry (expected construction of Mirror partner (Kontsevich, Fukaya,...)).
Recall: Our approach

Birational geometry of formal spaces = Rigid geometry

Raynaud’s viewpoint of rigid geometry + Zariski’s viewpoint of birational geometry

Geometry of models → Rigid analytic geometry

∥

Geometry of Formal Schemes

Zariski-Riemann space
Birational approach to Rigid Geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birational Geometry</th>
<th>$p$-adic Rigid Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$p = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>$S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>$\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Adequate Formal schemes**

- Defined ring theoretically.
- Noetherian outside the ideal of definition.

**Objects contain:**
- Spf $V$, $V$: $a$-adically complete valuation ring.
- Noetherian formal schemes.

**Functoriality:**
- Closed under finite type extensions.
- Base change by finite type morphisms.
Notice:

• The height of $V$ can be arbitrary.
• Includes formal models of Tate’s affinoid algebras.

Nice Point: Can generalize Theorems in EGA III (Finitudes, GFGA Comparison, GFGA Existence) in derived categorical language.
Coherent Rigid Spaces

$\text{CFs}^{\text{adq}} = \text{the category of coherent (}=\text{ quasi-compact}
\text{and quasi-separated)} \text{ adequate formal schemes}.$

$\exists \text{ Notion of } \text{“admissible blow-ups”}.$

**Definition.** The category of coherent rigid spaces:

$$\text{CRf} = \text{CFs}^{\text{adq}}\slash\{\text{admissible blow-ups}\}.$$

Quotient functor

$$\text{CFs}^{\text{adq}} \longrightarrow \text{CRf} \quad X \longmapsto X^{\text{rig}}.$$
Admissible Topology

- $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow X$: (coherent) open immersion
  $\iff \exists$ formal model $U \hookrightarrow X$: open immersion.

- $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \hookrightarrow X\}$: (finite) covering
  $\iff \exists$ formal models $U_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$ such that $X = \bigcup U_\alpha$.

**Proposition.** Any representable presheaf on $\text{CRf}_{\text{ad}}$ is a sheaf.

(Proof: By birational patching of formal models.)
General Rigid Spaces

Definition.

General Rigid Space = a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of sets on $\mathbf{CRf}_{\text{ad}}$ such that

(1) $\exists$ surjective map of sheaves

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_i \to \mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_i$: coherent rigid spaces.

(2) For $i, j \in I$,

$$\mathcal{Y}_i \times \mathcal{Y}_j \to \mathcal{Y}_i :$$

an increasing sequence of open immersions.

$\mathbf{Rf} = \text{the category of general rigid spaces.}$
Relationship with algebraic spaces

Theorem. \( \exists \) GAGA functor

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{Algebraic spaces} \\
\text{of finite type } / \mathbb{Q}_p
\end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \text{Rf.}
\]

Ingredients for the proof:

- Embedding theorem for algebraic spaces.
- Equivalence Theorem:
\textbf{Equivalence Theorem.} \( S \): coherent adequate formal scheme

\[
\left\{ \frac{X/S: \text{formal algebraic space of finite type}}{\{\text{adm. blow-ups}\}} \right\}
\sim
\left\{ \frac{X/S: \text{formal scheme of finite type}}{\{\text{adm. blow-ups}\}} \right\}
\]

Follows from:

\textbf{Theorem.} \( S \): as above, \( X/S \): formal algebraic space of finite type.
\[ \Rightarrow \exists \pi: X' \to X: \text{admissible blow-up such that} \]
\( X' \): formal scheme.
Visualization

- $\mathcal{X} = X^{\text{rig}}$: coherent rigid space
  $$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle = \lim_{\substack{\text{projective limit} \\ \text{of all admissible blow-ups}}} X'$$
  (projective limit of all admissible blow-ups).
- Specialization map $\text{sp}_{X'}: \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \rightarrow X'$.
- $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{int}}$ (Integral Structure Sheaf): limit of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$.
- Rigid Structure Sheaf:
  $$\mathcal{O}_X = \lim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{int}}} (\mathcal{I}^n, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{int}}),$$
  where $\mathcal{I}$: ideal of definition.

In $p$-adic situation: $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{int}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. 

The ring used yesterday
\( \mathcal{O}^{\text{int}}_\mathcal{X} \) is the canonical integral model of \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \).

\( \leadsto \) Zariski-Riemann triple \( \mathbf{ZR}(\mathcal{X}) = (\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle, \mathcal{O}^{\text{int}}_\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) \).

**Remark.** Notice the analogy:

\( (\mathcal{E}, \lvert \lvert \rvert) : \) Hermitian bundle

\[ \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{E} \] with integral model \( \mathcal{E}^{\text{int}} \).

**Proposition.**

Topos associated to \( \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \cong \) admissible topos \( \mathcal{X}^{\sim}\).
Relation with other theories

(1) Tate’s rigid spaces are naturally objects of $\textbf{Rf}$ (via Raynaud’s theorem & patching).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(Tate’s rigid)} \\
\text{spaces}
\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad \textbf{Rf}
\]

affinoids $\leftrightarrow$ affinoids.

Affinoid in $\textbf{Rf}$ = Coherent rigid space of the form $(\text{Spf } A)^{\text{rig}}$.

(2) Zariski-Riemann triples are regarded as Huber’s adic spaces.
A diagram illustrates the relationships between different types of rigid spaces. The diagram shows:

- **Tate’s rigid spaces**
- **Huber’s adic spaces**
- **Berkovich spaces**

These spaces are connected with arrows labeled with **ff.** (fully faithful), indicating the nature of the mappings between them. The diagram also highlights:

- **Rf**
- **ZR**

The text accompanying the diagram explains:

- A **ff.** map from Tate’s rigid spaces to Huber’s adic spaces, and then to Berkovich spaces.
- The category of general rigid spaces.
- By Tate’s rigid spaces, affinoids map to affinoids.
- Coherent rigid spaces of the form (Spf A)_{rig}.

The diagram underscores the connections between these mathematical structures, emphasizing the coherence and faithfulness of the mappings.
Theorem (Bosch-Raynaud, Fujiwara).

- $f: X \to S$: finite type between coherent adequate formal schemes.

$\implies$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $f^\text{rig}: X^\text{rig} \to S^\text{rig}$: flat (def $\iff \langle f^\text{rig} \rangle$: flat as a morphism of local ringed spaces).

2. $\exists S' \to S$: admissible blow-up such that the strict transform $f': X' \to S'$ is flat.

Proof: Similar to the algebraic case.
Corollary. Admissible blow-ups are cofinal in the category of formal modifications.

Corollary (Gerritzen-Grauert). In Tate’s rigid analytic geometry,

sep. étale inj. \iff open imm.
Properness in Rigid Geometry

Three different definitions

1. Universally closed, separated, of finite type.
2. Raynaud properness; i.e., having proper formal model.
3. Kiehl properness; i.e., existence of affinoid enlargements of coverings for each relatively compact affinoid open subset.

Difficult: 2. $\implies$ 3.
For $f : X \to \text{Spf } V$: of finite type,

Showing 2. $\implies$ 3.

**Theorem.**

$U \subset X$: affine open such that $\overline{U}$: proper

$\implies \exists \pi: X' \to X$: admissible blow-up and $\exists W \subset X'$: open such that

- $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq W$,
- $W \to \text{Spf } A$: contraction to an affine formal scheme.

**Remark.** Proved by Lütkebohmert, when $V = \text{DVR}$ (1990).
Formal Geometry and Rigid Geometry

General Principle

Theorems in Formal Geometry  \leftrightarrow  Theorems in Rigid Geometry

e.g.

GFGA theorems  \leftrightarrow  GAGA theorems
## Finiteness Theorem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal Geometry</th>
<th>Rigid Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Y ): quasi-compact adequate and amenable</td>
<td>( \mathcal{Y} ): quasi-compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f: X \to Y ): proper of finite presentation</td>
<td>( \varphi: X \to \mathcal{Y} ): proper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Rightarrow \ Rf_* ) maps</td>
<td>( \Rightarrow \ R\varphi_* ) maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D^\text{coh}<em>* (X) ) to ( D^\text{coh}</em>* (Y) ) for ( * = \emptyset, +, - ), b.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison Theorem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal Geometry</th>
<th>Rigid Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \((Y, Z)\): universal adhesive pair, amenable | \((B, I)\): complete t.u.a., 
\(U = \text{Spec } B \setminus V(I)\). |
| \(f : X \to Y\): proper of finite presentation | \(f : X \to Y\): proper \(U\)-morphisms. |

\[\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D}^\ast_{\text{coh}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{for}} \mathbf{D}^\ast(\widehat{X}) \\
\mathbf{D}^\ast_{\text{coh}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{for}} \mathbf{D}^\ast(\widehat{Y}) \\
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D}^\ast_{\text{coh}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{rig}} \mathbf{D}^\ast(\mathbf{X}^\text{an}) \\
\mathbf{D}^\ast_{\text{coh}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{rig}} \mathbf{D}^\ast(\mathbf{Y}^\text{an}) \\
\end{align*}\]
## Existence Theorem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal Geometry</th>
<th>Rigid Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((B, I)): complete t.u.a. pair, univ. top. coh.</td>
<td>((B, I)): complete t.u.a., (U = \text{Spec } B \setminus V(I)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f : X \to Y = \text{Spec } B): proper of finite presentation</td>
<td>(f : X \to Y): proper (U)-morphisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\implies)</td>
<td>(\implies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathbf{D}^b_{\text{coh}}(X) \sim \mathbf{D}^b_{\text{coh}}(\widehat{X}))</td>
<td>(\mathbf{D}^b_{\text{coh}}(X) \sim \mathbf{D}^b_{\text{coh}}(X^{an}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>